

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/526,317	Applicant(s) POLONI ET AL.	
	Examiner Kuang Y. Lin	Art Unit 1725	

All Participants:

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(2) Mr. Sampson.

Status of Application: amendment after 1st office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, and 15-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that claim 7 should be amended to better define the scope of the claim, and claims 1 and 15-20 should be canceled to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment for detail.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application: The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)